

From: [Chapman, John \(Sheriff\)](#)
To: [Hoss, Duncan](#)
Subject: A near medical rejection
Date: Friday, August 2, 2019 1:13:09 PM
Attachments: [image007.png](#)
[image008.png](#)
[image009.jpg](#)

FYI...

From: Bishop, Ric
Sent: Friday, August 2, 2019 10:02 AM
To: Beltran, Kimberly
Cc: Chapman, John (Sheriff); Clark, Kenneth
Subject: RE: A near medical rejection

Appreciate the update. Thx rb



Chief Corrections Deputy Ric Bishop

Corrections Branch
Clark County Sheriff's Office
707 West 13th Street
Vancouver, WA 98660
564.397.2211 ext. 6043



From: Beltran, Kimberly
Sent: Thursday, August 01, 2019 7:40 PM
To: Bishop, Ric
Cc: Chapman, John (Sheriff); Clark, Kenneth
Subject: RE: A near medical rejection

Chief – If you read the two emails below from Melissa, it makes absolute sense.

If you need anything else, please let me know.

Thanks!

Kimberly

From: Melissa Songer [mailto:MSonger@Wellpath.us]
Sent: Thursday, August 1, 2019 7:10 PM
To: Beltran, Kimberly
Subject: [Contains External Hyperlinks] Re: [EXT] A near medical rejection

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of Clark County. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Thank you.

Please note that this patient actually did end up needing to be sent out to the hospital over the weekend because of this knee infection. He was in our custody at this time which obviously had an operational impact for custody. This is the reason we reject in these situations. That being said I personally would have stuck with the decision to reject him initially.

Melissa

On Aug 1, 2019, at 18:53, Beltran, Kimberly <Kimberly.Beltran@clark.wa.gov> wrote:

Melissa,

That helps and I didn't realize the inmate's name was missing from the information. Sorry!

I looked up case #19007126 and it shows the name was [REDACTED] if that helps.

Thanks!

Kimberly

From: Melissa Songer [<mailto:MSonger@Wellpath.us>]
Sent: Thursday, August 1, 2019 6:18 PM
To: Beltran, Kimberly
Subject: [Contains External Hyperlinks] Re: [EXT] A near medical rejection

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of Clark County. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Kim,

Without knowing a name on the individual in question I am not able to provide any specific information. I can say that if there is a concern for an infection it would be appropriate for the nurse to reject and request medical clearance. Just because the detainee reports he had been to the hospital earlier in the day that would not be enough for us to accept him. That individual could have left the hospital against medical advice or it could have been recommended that they should have been admitted. Without knowing what the hospital recommended was we really have nothing to work with. It sounds to me like the 2nd nurse was trying to come up with a solution that would be sufficient for all parties involved versus just rejecting the person. If the concern for infection was that significant I personally would have wanted

discharge paperwork OR wanted medical clearance prior to booking. That being said, the decision to accept or reject would be at the discretion of the RN performing the assessment. If the second RN was more comfortable accepting this person then it sounds like the first RN did the appropriate thing by asking for a second opinion.

I hope this helps.

Melissa

On Aug 1, 2019, at 17:37, Beltran, Kimberly <Kimberly.Beltran@clark.wa.gov> wrote:

Melissa,

Any insight into this case you can provide would be helpful. Thanks!

Kimberly

From: Bishop, Ric
Sent: Thursday, August 1, 2019 4:26 PM
To: Chapman, John (Sheriff); Clark, Kenneth
Cc: Beltran, Kimberly
Subject: RE: From Slav

Kim,

It seems to me that we could get the discharge paperwork from the hospital as we do with other documents since we are the care provider without asking patrol to get the paperwork. This sounds to me, like an inexperienced RN at intake?

Please let me know.

Thx rb

[<image008.png.awsec>](#)

Chief Corrections Deputy Ric Bishop

Corrections Branch

Clark County Sheriff's Office

707 West 13th Street

Vancouver, WA 98660

564.397.2211 ext. 6043

[<image009.png.awsec>](#) [<image010.jpg.awsec>](#)

From: Chapman, John (Sheriff)
Sent: Thursday, August 01, 2019 4:18 PM

To: Bishop, Ric; Clark, Kenneth
Subject: From Slav

For your review...

From: Hoss, Duncan
Sent: Tuesday, July 30, 2019 8:02 AM
To: McCabe, Mike
Cc: Chapman, John (Sheriff)
Subject: FW: From Slav

Not a refusal, but close. I love what they were asking the deputy to do.

Duncan Hoss
360-518-5947

From: Ellithorpe, Brian
Sent: Saturday, July 27, 2019 9:34 PM
To: Hoss, Duncan
Cc: Bieber, Tim
Subject: From Slav

Duncan,

Slav advised that he made a warrant arrest on 7/24, case # 19007126, and the booked buy had a knee infection. He had been to the doctor earlier in the day and he had been released with the effected knee bandaged up. Apparently the jail nurse did not want to let the person to be booked. A second nurse came and asked Slav to go back to the booked person's house to get the doctors paperwork. Slav told him he would not be doing that. They ended up allowing the person to be booked. See Slav's message below:

I was going to pass this on but forgot. Three days ago I booked a guy on a few 6M's. He went to the hospital earlier in the day for an infection on his knee. He is a full time construction worker. It was properly bandaged up. Jail nurse #1 didn't want to take him and called another backup unit nurse #2 (probably superior). Superior asked if I can go back to his house and get his medical discharge paperwork. I told her no freakin way and that they have accepted people in MUCH worse condition. I held my ground and they agreed to take him. UGH

FYI
Brian

[<image008.png.awsec>](#)

Sgt. Brian Ellithorpe
Enforcement Branch
Clark County Sheriff's Office
707 West 13th Street
Vancouver, WA 98660
360.397.2211 ext. 5307

This e-mail and related attachments and any response may
be subject to public disclosure under state law.
=

Do not forward without the express written permission of the above-named author of this message. The information in this E-mail message is confidential and intended only for the use of its intended recipient. If you, the reader of this message, are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you should not further disseminate, distribute, or forward this E-mail message. If you have received this E-mail in error, please notify the sender and destroy the message. Thank you.

This e-mail and related attachments and any response may be subject to public disclosure under state law.